



How Confident are you that your Peer Review Process is Effective?

Many of the problems clinicians face, and some they may not be aware of, tie directly to the processes and systems in place for case preparation and review efforts.

45% of Problematic Plans Not Detected in Typical Peer Review*

A 2019 study¹ presented at ASTRO shows that problematic treatment plans often slip through typical chart rounds peer review.

How many errors slip through your peer review process?

Time Constraints and Compromises*

Clinicians deal with time constraints and are forced to make compromises when preparing cases for peer review. Insufficient preparation exacerbates existing inefficiencies during the review process, leading to problematic plans not being detected.

Most Clinics Lack Standardized Documentation

Many clinics struggle when documenting peer review results and are unable to capture structured data. Quality documentation is becoming increasingly important for both process improvement and practice accreditation such as ASTRO APEX².

Review More, Prepare Less with [**MIM Harmony™**](#)

1. Talcott, W.J. & Lincoln, H. & Kelly, J.R. & Tressel, L. & Wilson, L.D. & Decker, R.H. & Evans, Suzanne. (2019). A Blinded, Prospective Study of Problematic Plan Detection During Physician Chart Rounds. *International Journal of Radiation Oncology*Biophysics*. 105. S23-S24. 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2019.06.425.

2. More information on ASTRO accreditation at <https://www.astro.org/Daily-Practice/Accreditation>



To learn more, scan the QR code or click here to [visit us on the web](#)

MIM Software Inc. • 25800 Science Park Drive - Suite 180, Cleveland, Ohio 44122 • 866-421-2536

© 2020 MIM Software Inc. • All Rights Reserved • TD906 – 8 Sep 2020

